

Name	Tarea Final Lección 8 - Presentar el barrio ideal			
Description	Presentar el barrio ideal			
Rubric Detail				
Levels of Achievement				
Criteria	Exceeds expectations	Meets expectations (strong)	Meets expectations (minimal)	Does not meet expectations
Respects the intention  Weight 25.00%	100.00 %  Student clearly presents an ideal neighborhood, it has a clear map that fits the description, includes all the information from the card. Nothing is missing. Presentation time is the assigned one.	80.00 %  Student presents the ideal neighborhood, but map is either not very clear or does not quite fit the description. And/or it includes most of the information from the card. Time is the assigned one.	60.00 %  Presents an ideal neighborhood, but map does not fit most of the description regardless of how clear it is. And/or includes only a few aspects of the information from the card. And/or Presentation too long or too short.	40.00 %  The presentation does not have a map or/ and does not match the description. And/or the description is either irrelevant or gives minimal information regardless of the time used for the presentation.
Respects the characteristics of the genre and the communicative situation  Weight 20.00%	100.00 %  Uses the informal register to address the audience, (starts the presentation greeting the audience (e.g., Buenos días), introduces the neighborhood as: Mi barrio se llama...), does not read from a script, and voice is clear and loud enough. Closes the presentation appropriately (e.g., Eso es todo, gracias). Presentation is engaging.	80.00 %  Uses the informal register to address the audience, and introduce the neighborhood, greets the audience and closes the presentation appropriately, and/or there are moments that reads from a script. And/or voice is clear and loud enough. And/or Presentation is mostly engaging.	60.00 %  Uses the informal register to address to the audience but greetings or closings are missing. And/or reads from a script. And/or voice is not always clear or loud enough, and/or presentation is minimally engaging.	40.00 %  Student reads from a script the entire time and/or register is not identified and voice is not adequate for audience to understand or hear. Greetings and closings are missing. Presentation is not engaging.
Textual coherence  Weight 5.00%	100.00 %  Uses appropriate connectors to relate sentences such as y, también, pero. Presentation is organized and logical.	80.00 %  Uses mostly appropriate connectors to relate sentences. Presentation mostly organized and/or logical.	60.00 %  The presentation is not always logical and/or is very organized. Connectors to relate sentences are missing most of the time.	40.00 %  Mostly illogical or disorganized. No use or very little use of connectors.
Comprehensibility  Weight 15.00%	100.00 %  The presentation is very clear and can be understood even by those not used to non-native speakers.	80.00 %  The presentation is clear and can be understood by those used to non-native speakers.	60.00 %  The presentation is mostly clear but those used to non-natives may need additional help to understand it.	40.00 %  Most of what is said may be unintelligible or understood with difficulty even by those used to non-native presentations.
Linguistic resources  Weight 25.00%	100.00 %  Uses vocabulary, lexical expressions, and grammar to describe a neighborhood appropriately: uses a variety of words and expressions learned in class and from the Para comunicar box, and addresses all aspect of the information card in a rich and varied way. None or just a few inaccuracies are present.	80.00 %  Uses in mostly appropriate way some vocabulary, lexical expressions and grammar necessary to describe a neighborhood, although with several inaccuracies.	60.00 %  Uses a few linguistic resources to describe a neighborhood, and/or there are several inaccuracies.	40.00 %  Presentation has little accuracy and the use of linguistic resources is not evident.
Reaction to the presentations. What are the best two neighborhoods?  Weight 10.00%	100.00 %  Text clearly and correctly identifies the neighborhood (e.g., Yo elegí Marina Linda...) and gives a clear, relevant, and complete reason of why student thinks it is one of the best. Student says what he likes the most of the neighborhood. Student selected two neighborhoods.	80.00 %  Text identifies the neighborhood, but the reason may not be correct, very clear, relevant or complete in one or both of them. Student selected two neighborhoods.	60.00 %  Text identifies the neighborhood but accuracy, clarity, relevance and/or completeness of his account is minimal. And/or only one neighborhood is selected.	40.00 %  Text lacks clarity, relevance or is mostly incomplete regardless of student selected one or two neighborhoods.